

**F A X C O V E R****Date** August 17, 2001**Number of pages** (including cover): 7**To** John Guarriello - Art Unit 1771**Company** USPTO**Serial #** 09/289,208**Tel****Fax** 703-872-9471**From** Randy Pritzker**Direct dial** 617-573-7847**Our File #** W0490/7007ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS SENT: ☐ 1st Class Mail ☐ Overnight Mail ☐ Air Mail ☒ Not Sent**MESSAGE:**

PROPOSED AMENDMENT -- DO NOT ENTER

This transmission contains confidential information intended for use only by the above-named recipient. Reading, discussing, distributing, or copying this message by anyone other than the named recipient, or his or her employees or agents, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this fax in error, please notify us immediately by telephone (collect), and return the original message to us at the address below via the U.S. Postal Service.

IF YOU DID NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSMISSION OR IF ANY OF THE PAGES ARE ILLEGIBLE, PLEASE CALL 617.720.3500 IMMEDIATELY.

Wolf Greenfield Fax Number: 617.720.2441

Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C. | 600 Atlantic Avenue | Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2206
617.720.3500 | fax 617.720.2441 | www.wolfgreenfield.com

PATENTS TRADEMARKS COPYRIGHTS TECHNOLOGY TRANSFERS LITIGATION

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO. W0490/7007 RJP

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Charles A. Howland
Serial No: 09/289,208
Filed: April 9, 1999
For: PROTECTIVE FABRIC HAVING HIGH PENETRATION
RESISTANCE

Examiner: J. Guarriello
Art Unit: 1771

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a)

The undersigned hereby certifies that this document is being placed in the United States mail with first-class postage attached, addressed to Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on the ____ day of _____, 2001.

Judith M. Schultz

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231**PROPOSED AMENDMENT - DO NOT ENTER**

Sir:

REMARKS

This is a proposed Amendment in the above-referenced application responding to the Office Action mailed March 14, 2001.

Applicant wishes to thank Examiner Guarriello in advance for agreeing to conduct a personal interview with Applicant's undersigned attorney. This Amendment outlines Applicant's position on the matters raised by the Examiner for purposes of discussion during the interview.

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-4 and 6-8 as being unpatentable over Harpell et al. '280 patent. The Examiner notes that Harpell et al.